



Docket No.: 1594.1226

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Jong-Chull SHON et al.

Serial No. 10/633,573

Group Art Unit: 2821

Confirmation No. 5436

Filed: August 5, 2003

Examiner: Lee, Wilson

For: MAGNETRON, AND MICROWAVE OVEN AND HIGH-FREQUENCY HEATING
APPARATUS EACH EQUIPPED WITH THE SAME

COMMENTS REGARDING STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

A Statement of Reasons for Allowance was forwarded in the Notice of Allowability mailed December 16, 2005.

MPEP §1302.14 states, in part:

Where specific reasons are recorded by the examiner, care must be taken to ensure that statements of reasons for allowance (or indication of allowable subject matter) are accurate, precise and do not place unwarranted interpretations, whether broad or narrow upon the claims. The examiner should keep in mind the possible misinterpretations of his or her statement that may be made and its possible estoppel effects.

The Examiner characterizes certain features of various claims. However, the Examiner has not recited the appropriate language for the appropriate claims as pending and allowed in the application.

The Examiner sets forth a generalized short statement for independent claims 1, 7, 9, 12, 20, 23, 25, 26, 27, and 28. The characterization of the claim limitations by way of the introductory statement is not precise and causes unwarranted misinterpretations. Furthermore, the short statement recited by the Examiner does not directly correspond to the corresponding

claims.

The foregoing is merely meant to be exemplary, and does not point out all of the discrepancies between the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance and the claimed features of the currently pending claims.

It is further submitted that the claims speak for themselves and should not be interpreted based on the Examiner's characterizations of same. It is also submitted that the claims provide their own best evidence as to the reasons for allowance.

In summary, it is submitted that the Examiner's Statement "raises possible misinterpretations... and possible estoppel effects" (M.P.E.P. §1302.14) and is therefore improper.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: January 25, 2006

By: Darleen J. Stockley
Darleen J. Stockley
Registration No. 34,257

1201 New York Ave, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501